

This is ONLY a preview of your response. PLEASE CLICK "NEXT" at the bottom of this page to submit your response.

Thank you for taking the time to respond to the "Consultation Paper on Proposals to Further Expand the Paperless Listing Regime and Other Rule Amendments"

Please state whether your response represents the view of your company/organisation or your personal view

- Company/Organisation view**
 Personal view

Please provide the following information. A statement on HKEX's privacy policy is set out in Appendix IV to the Consultation Paper.

Company/Organisation name*:

The Chamber of Hong Kong Listed Companies

Company/Organisation type*:

- Accounting Firm
 Corporate Finance Firm / Bank
 HKEX Participant
 Investment Manager
 Law Firm
 Listed Company
 Professional Body / Industry Association
 Other

If "Other" is selected, please specify the company/organisation type

Contact Person*:

- Mr.**
 Ms.
 Mrs.
 Miss

Name*:

Mike Wong

Job Title:

CEO

Phone Number*:

●●●●●●

Email Address*:

●●●●●@chkc.org

Important note: All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are mandatory. HKEX may use the contact information above to verify the identity of the respondent. Responses without valid contact details may be treated as invalid.

Disclosure of identity

HKEX may publish your identity together with your response. Respondents who do NOT wish their identities to be published should tick the box below; otherwise, please click "Next":

I/We do NOT wish to disclose my/our identity to members of the public.

Question 1

Do you agree with the Electronic Instructions Proposal as detailed in paragraphs 29 to 45 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes
 No

Please give reasons for your views.

We agree to the spirit of the proposals and the benefits they bring as outlined in the consultation paper. We also appreciate the flexibility given to issuers in determining the format of the electronic means.

Question 2

Do you agree with the implementation timeline (including the availability of transitional arrangements) for the Electronic Instructions Proposal as set out in paragraphs 47 to 54 of the Consultation Paper?

- Yes
 No

Please give reasons for your views.

We believe that the electronic instructions proposal should be implemented for an issuer when the issuer's securities have become participating securities under the USM regime. That is more logical, aligned and will not duplicate efforts and costs, as stated in paragraph 49 of the consultation paper. Issuers may elect to adopt the proposals earlier if they so wish.

Question 3

Do you agree with the Real-time Electronic Payment Proposal as detailed in paragraphs 69 to 74 of the Consultation Paper?

- Yes
 No

Please give reasons for your views.

Question 4

Do you agree with the Electronic Subscription Monies Proposal as detailed in paragraphs 83 to 89 of the Consultation Paper?

- Yes
 No

Please give reasons for your views.

Question 5

Do you agree that MMOs should no longer be available to issuers as set out in paragraph 99 of the Consultation Paper?

- Yes
 No

Please give reasons for your views.

Question 6

Do you agree with the Hybrid General Meeting and E-voting Proposal as detailed in paragraphs 129 to 134 of the Consultation Paper?

- Yes
 No

Please give reasons for your views.

We have no objection that issuers secure legal provisions to hold hybrid general meeting and E-voting to give themselves the flexibility as long as they have the right to choose between hybrid and physical.

Question 7

Should issuers be required to provide securities holders with an option to attend general meetings remotely and vote via electronic means (as set out in paragraph 135 of the Consultation Paper)?

- Yes
 No

Please give reasons for your views.

If the option is so required, it implies that hybrid general meetings will always be the case if we are not mistaken. This contradicts with paragraph 123 which says the Exchange would not mandate hybrid general meetings or E-voting. Clarification is needed.

Question 8

Should web accessibility guideline(s) (e.g. WCAG) be incorporated into, or referred to, in the Listing Rules (for example, the CG Code) or the Exchange's guidance, such that any corporate communications made available on issuers' website under the Rules should conform to such guideline(s), as set out in paragraph 146 of the Consultation Paper?

- Yes
 No

Please give reasons for your views.

We believe it is alright to lay out the general principles of a user-friendly website so that the navigation is simple, intuitive for users and information is easy to find. We think the issuer's webpages at present meet such principles already. We do not see it necessary to impose specific standards, especially if it involves hiring a web developer to develop or revamp the webpage. That would be too prescriptive and add another burden on issuers for something that has no real urgency.

Question 9

Do you agree with adding a new note to MB Rule 13.46(1) to clarify that the conditions for granting waivers from the publication and distribution requirements of annual results/reports also apply to issuers that are neither overseas issuers nor PRC issuers (see paragraph 151 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Question 10(a)

Do you agree with the following proposed amendments to align requirements:

To amend paragraph 12B of Appendix D2 to the MB Rules (GEM Rule 18.39B) to remove the annual affirmation requirement for independent non-executive director (see paragraph 152 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Question 10(b)

Do you agree with the following proposed amendments to align requirements:

Amend MB Rule 9.11(33) (GEM Rule 12.25(2)) to more accurately reflect the documentary requirements for the registration of a prospectus of C(WUMP)O (see paragraph 154 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Question 10(c)

Do you agree with the following proposed amendments to align requirements:

To remove GEM Rule 18.50C to align the requirement on the timeframe for submission of annual report with the MB Rules (see paragraph 155 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Question 10(d)

Do you agree with the following proposed amendments to align requirements:

To align the market capitalisation information required on Main Board and GEM listing application forms (see paragraph 157 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Question 11

Do you agree with the proposal to amend MB Rule 2.07C to cover the types of announcements mentioned in paragraphs 158 and 159 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Question 12

Do you agree with the proposal to amend MB Rule 37.06 as mentioned in paragraphs 161 to 164 of the Consultation Paper?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Question 13

Do you agree with the proposal to clarify the scope of Professional Debt Issuers' continuing obligation to notify the Exchange of their proposals to amend trust deed (see paragraphs 165 and 166 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Question 14

Do you agree with the proposal to clarify the scope of Professional Debt Issuers' continuing obligation to submit financial statements to the Exchange (see paragraphs 167 and 168 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Question 15

Do you agree with the proposal to revise the scope of Public Debt Issuers' continuing obligation to inform and submit drafts to the Exchange with respect to their proposal to amend documents that affect the rights of the holders of their listed debt securities (see paragraphs 169 to 171 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Question 16

Do you agree with the proposal to clarify the validity period of a debt programme under MB Rule 37.41 (GEM Rule 30.34) (see paragraphs 172 and 173 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Question 17

Do you agree with the proposal to revise the definition of supranationals under the MB Rules (see paragraphs 174 and 175 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Question 18

Do you agree with the proposal to require all Public Debt Issuers (except States and supranationals) to publish the English and Chinese versions of their financial statements (see paragraphs 176 to 178 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your views.

Question 19

Do you agree with the proposal to replace references to “general meeting” with “meeting of holders of the debt securities” in paragraph 9 of Appendix A2 to the MB Rules (paragraph 9 of Appendix A2 to the GEM Rules) (see paragraphs 179 and 180 of the Consultation Paper)?

Yes

No

Please give reasons for your reviews.