

We thank you for your time spent taking this survey.  
Your response has been recorded.

**Consultation of Proposed Reduction of Minimum Spreads in the Hong Kong Securities Market**

**Thank you for taking the time to respond to the Consultation Paper on the Proposed Reduction of Minimum Spreads in the Hong Kong Securities Market ("Consultation Paper").**

Please state whether your response represents the view of your company/ organisation or your personal view:

- Company / Organisation view**  
 Personal view

Please provide the following information about your company/ organisation. A statement on HKEX's privacy policy is set out in Appendix III to the Consultation Paper.

Company/ Organisation Name\*:

The Chamber of Hong Kong Listed Companies

Please indicate whether you are an HKEX Participant\*:

- Yes, SEHK Participant
- Yes, HKFE Participant
- Yes, SEOCH Participant
- Yes, others (e.g. HKSCC Participant)
- Not an HKEX Participant**

Business nature best represents your company/ organisation in the responses\*:

- Broker - Institutional client base
- Broker - Retail client base
- Broker System Vendor/ Information Vendor
- Proprietary Trading Firm / Market Maker
- Institutional Investor
- Industry Association**
- Listed Company
- Others (please specify)

Please provide the following information.

Name\*

Email address\*

*(use a company/ organisation email if you are representing your company/ organisation)*

Phone number

Job title / position

CEO

Important note: All fields marked with an asterisk (\*) are mandatory. HKEX may use the contact information above to verify the identity of the respondent. Responses without valid contact details may be treated as invalid.

HKEX may publish your identity together with your response. Respondents who do NOT wish their identities to be published should check the box below; otherwise, please click "Next".

I/We do NOT wish to disclose my/ our identity to the members of the public.

Please refer to Chapter 2 of the Consultation Paper.

Q1. It is proposed that only the Applicable Securities, i.e. equities, REITs and equity warrants, will undergo minimum spread reduction. Do you agree? If not, please provide reasons.

- Yes
- No, reasons as follows
- No comment

Please refer to Chapter 2 of the Consultation Paper.

Q2. Do you agree with the Exchange's proposal to keep the minimum spreads or price bands below \$0.5 and above \$50 unchanged? If not, please provide reasons.

- Yes
- No, reasons as follows
  
- No comment

Q3. Do you agree with the proposed selection of the price bands and/ or the proposed magnitude of reduction of minimum spreads for phase 1 (i.e. 50% to 60% reduction to stocks priced between \$10 and \$50 to achieve 4 to 10 bps for tick-to-price ratios)? If not, please provide reasons.

- Yes
- No, reasons as follows
  
- No comment

Q4. Do you agree with the proposed selection of the price band and/ or the proposed magnitude of reduction of minimum spreads for phase 2 (i.e. 50% reduction to stocks priced between \$0.5 and \$10 to achieve 5 to 100 bps for tick-to-price ratios)? If not, please provide reasons.

- Yes
- No, reasons as follows
  
- No comment

Please refer to Chapter 2 of the Consultation Paper.

Q5. Continued use of a single spread table model with increasing minimum spreads along with price bands is proposed. Do you agree? If not, please provide reasons.

- Yes
- No, reasons as follows
- No comment

Q6. Are you supportive of a multiple spread table model for the same type of securities? If so, what eligibility criteria would you suggest? If not, what challenges would you foresee in the implementation of a multiple spread table model? Please elaborate.

- Yes. The following eligibility criteria is suggested:
- No. Potential challenges as below:
- No comment

Please refer to Chapter 3 of the [Consultation Paper](#).

Q7. Do you agree to the inclusion of percentage-based requirement on top of the existing spread based requirements (i.e. either  $\pm 24$  spreads or 3.5% from the reference price, whichever is greater in percentage terms) in the quotation rules, including the relevant rules applicable in different trading sessions and transactions concluded on and outside of the Exchange's trading system? If not, please provide reasons.

- Yes
- No, reasons as follows
- No comment**

Please refer to Chapter 3 of the [Consultation Paper](#).

Q8. Are you aware of any infrastructure impact which may arise from the proposed minimum spread reduction, including but not limited to a 3 decimal place system set up for Exchange Traded Options trades? Please elaborate and explain the potential impact, including the possible lead time required for the additional infrastructure changes, if any.

- Yes, details as follows
- No
- No comment**

Please refer to Chapter 5 of the [Consultation Paper](#).

Q9. Do you agree with the proposed six-month lead time before effecting the new Spread Table for the Applicable Securities under phase 1? If not, what would be a reasonable length and why?

- Yes
- No, recommended length and reasons as follows
- No comment

Q10. If the Exchange decides to implement phase 2 proposed after the review of phase 1, how much lead time would you need?

- Under 3 months
- 4 - 6 months
- 7 - 12 months
- Others, please specify and give reasons for your view
- No comment

Q11. Do you have any other comments regarding the proposed minimum spread reduction in the Hong Kong securities market?

We in general agree with the proposals in the consultation paper and have no specific comments about the technical aspects of the proposals. We believe any measures that could promote liquidity of the market and individual stocks, and increase trading efficiency are to be supported.

This is the last page of the questionnaire. By clicking "Submit", your response will be submitted and recorded.



English ▾

Q11. Do you have any other comments regarding the proposed minimum spread reduction in the Hong Kong securities market?

We in general agree with the proposals in the consultation paper and have no specific comments about the technical aspects of the proposals. We believe any measures that could promote liquidity of the market and individual stocks, and increase trading efficiency are to be supported.

Powered by Qualtrics 