
QUESTIONNAIRE ON CAPITAL RAISINGS BY LISTED ISSUERS

We invite interested parties to respond to the Consultation Paper on Capital Raisings by Listed Issuers (**Consultation Paper**), which can be downloaded from the HKEX website at:

<http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp2017092.pdf>

This Questionnaire contains the Privacy Policy Statement; Part A: General Information of Respondents; and Part B: Consultation Questions.

All responses should be made in writing by completing and returning to HKEX both Part A and Part B of this Questionnaire no later than **24 November 2017** by one of the following methods:

By mail or
hand delivery to: Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited
12th Floor, One International Finance Centre
1 Harbour View Street
Central
Hong Kong

Re: Consultation Paper on Capital Raisings by Listed Issuers

By fax to: (852) 2524-0149

By e-mail to: response@hkex.com.hk

Please mark in the subject line:

Re: Consultation Paper on Capital Raisings by Listed Issuers

Our submission enquiry number is (852) 2840-3844.

The names of persons who submit comments together with the whole or part of their submissions may be disclosed to members of the public. If you do not wish your name to be published please indicate so in Part A.

Privacy Policy Statement

Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited and from time to time, its subsidiaries, affiliated companies controlling it or under common control with it and its joint ventures (each such entity, from time to time, being “HKEX”, “we”, “us” or an “affiliate” for the purposes of this Privacy Policy Statement as appropriate) recognises its responsibilities in relation to the collection, holding, processing, use and/or transfer of personal data under the Personal Data (Privacy) Ordinance (Cap. 486) (“PDPO”). Personal data will be collected only for lawful and relevant purposes and all practicable steps will be taken to ensure that personal data held by HKEX is accurate. HKEX will use your personal data in accordance with this Privacy Policy Statement.

We regularly review this Privacy Policy Statement and may from time to time revise it or add specific instructions, policies and terms. Where any changes to this Privacy Policy Statement are material, we will notify you using the contact details you have provided us with and, as required by the PDPO, give you the opportunity to opt out of these changes by means notified to you at that time. Otherwise, in relation to personal data supplied to us through the HKEX website, continued use by you of the HKEX website shall be deemed to be your acceptance of and consent to this Privacy Policy Statement.

If you have any questions about this Privacy Policy Statement or how we use your personal data, please contact us through one of the communication channels below.

HKEX will take all practicable steps to ensure the security of the personal data and to avoid unauthorised or accidental access, erasure or other use. This includes physical, technical and procedural security methods, where appropriate, to ensure that the personal data may only be accessed by authorized personnel.

Please note that if you do not provide us with your personal data (or relevant personal data relating to persons appointed by you to act on your behalf) we may not be able to provide the information, products or services you have asked for or process your request.

Purpose

From time to time we may collect your personal data such as your name, mailing address, telephone number, email address and login name for the following purposes:

1. to process your applications, subscriptions and registration for our products and services;
2. to perform or discharge the functions of HKEX and any company of which HKEX is the recognised exchange controller (as defined in the Securities and Futures Ordinance (Cap. 571));
3. to provide you with our products and services and administer your account in relation to such products and services;
4. to conduct research and statistical analysis; and
5. other purposes directly relating to any of the above.

Direct marketing

Except to the extent you have already opted out or in future opt out, we may also use your name, mailing address, telephone number and email address to send promotional materials to you and conduct direct marketing activities in relation to our financial services and information services, and related financial services and information services offered by our affiliates.

If you do not wish to receive any promotional and direct marketing materials from HKEX or do not wish to receive particular types of promotional and direct marketing materials or do not wish to receive such materials through any particular means of communication, please contact us through one of the communication channels below.

Identity Card Number

We may also collect your identity card number and process this as required under applicable law or regulation, as required by any regulator having authority over us and, subject to the PDPO, for the purpose of identifying you where it is reasonable for your identity card number to be used for this purpose.

Transfers of personal data for direct marketing purposes

Except to the extent you have already opted out or in future opt out, we may transfer your name, mailing address, telephone number and email address to our affiliates for the purpose of enabling our affiliates to send promotional materials to you and conduct direct marketing activities in relation to their financial services and information services.

Other transfers of personal data

For one or more of the purposes specified above, the personal data may be:

1. transferred to our affiliates and made available to appropriate persons in our affiliates, in Hong Kong or elsewhere and in this regard you consent to the transfer of your data outside of Hong Kong; and
2. supplied to any agent, contractor or third party who provides administrative or other services to HKEX and/or any of our affiliates in Hong Kong or elsewhere.

How we use cookies

If you access our information or services through the HKEX website, you should be aware that cookies are used. Cookies are data files stored on your browser. The HKEX website automatically installs and uses cookies on your browser when you access it. Two kinds of cookies are used on the HKEX website:

Session Cookies: temporary cookies that only remain in your browser until the time you leave the HKEX website, which are used to obtain and store configuration information and administer the HKEX website, including carrying information from one page to another as you browse the site so as to, for example, avoid you having to re-enter information on each page that you visit. Session cookies are also used to compile anonymous statistics about the use of the HKEX website.

Persistent Cookies: cookies that remain in your browser for a longer period of time for the purpose of compiling anonymous statistics about the use of the HKEX website or to track and record user preferences.

The cookies used in connection with the HKEX website do not contain personal data. You may refuse to accept cookies on your browser by modifying the settings in your browser or internet security software. However, if you do so you may not be able to utilise or activate certain functions available on the HKEX website.

Compliance with laws and regulations

You agree that HKEX and its affiliates may be required to retain, process and/or disclose your personal data in order to comply with applicable laws and regulations, or in order to comply with a court order, subpoena or other legal process, or to comply with a request by a government authority, law enforcement agency or similar body (whether situated in Hong Kong or elsewhere). You also agree that HKEX and its affiliates may need to disclose your personal data in order to enforce any agreement with you, protect our rights, property or safety, or the rights, property or safety of our affiliates and employees.

Corporate reorganisation

As HKEX continues to develop its business, we may reorganise our group structure, undergo a change of control or business combination. In these circumstances it may be the case that your personal data is transferred to a third party who will continue to operate our business or a similar service under either this Privacy Policy Statement or a different privacy policy statement which will be notified to you. Such a third party may be located, and use of your personal data may be made, outside of Hong Kong in connection with such acquisition or reorganisation.

Access and correction of personal data

Under the PDPO, you have the right to ascertain whether HKEX holds your personal data, to obtain a copy of the data, and to correct any data that is inaccurate. You may also request HKEX to inform you of the type of personal data held by it. All data access requests shall be made using the form prescribed by the Privacy Commissioner for Personal Data ("**Privacy Commissioner**") which may be found on the official website of the Office of the Privacy Commissioner.

Requests for access and correction or for information regarding policies and practices and kinds of data held by HKEX should be addressed in writing and sent by post to us (see contact details below).

A reasonable fee may be charged to offset HKEX's administrative and actual costs incurred in complying with your data access requests.

Termination or cancellation

Should your account with us be cancelled or terminated at any time, we shall cease processing your personal data as soon as reasonably practicable following such cancellation or termination, provided that we may keep copies of your data as is reasonably required for archival purposes, for use in relation to any actual or potential dispute, for the purpose of compliance with applicable laws and regulations and for the purpose of enforcing any agreement we have with you, for protecting our rights, property or safety, or the rights, property or safety of our affiliates and employees.

Contact us

By Post:
Personal Data Privacy Officer
Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited
12/F., One International Finance Centre
1 Harbour View Street
Central
Hong Kong

By Email:
pdpo@hkex.com.hk

Part A General Information of the Respondent

- (1) Please state whether your response represents your personal or your company / entity's view by checking (☑) the boxes below and filling in the information as appropriate:

☒ Company / Entity view

Company/ Entity name*: The Chamber of Hong Kong Listed Companies	
Company/ Entity type*: <input type="checkbox"/> Listed Company <input type="checkbox"/> HKEX Participant <input type="checkbox"/> Investment Management Firm <input type="checkbox"/> Corporate Finance Firm <input type="checkbox"/> Law Firm <input type="checkbox"/> Accountancy Firm <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Professional Body/Industry Association <input type="checkbox"/> None of the above (Type _____)	
Contact person*: Mr/Ms/Mrs	Mr. Mike Wong
Title: Chief Executive Officer	
Phone no.*: 2116 8922	Email address: mikewong@chklc.org

☐ Personal view


Respondent's full name*: Mr / Ms / Mrs _____	
Phone no.*: _____	Email address: _____
Among the following, please select the one best describing your position*:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Listed Company Staff <input type="checkbox"/> HKEX Participant Staff <input type="checkbox"/> Individual Investor	
<input type="checkbox"/> Investment Management Staff <input type="checkbox"/> Corporate Finance Staff <input type="checkbox"/> Lawyer	
<input type="checkbox"/> Accountant	<input type="checkbox"/> None of the above (Type: _____)

Important note: All fields marked with an asterisk (*) are mandatory. HKEX may use the contact information above to verify the identity of the respondent. Responses without valid contact details may be treated as invalid.

(2) Disclosure of identity

HKEX may publish the identity of the respondent together with Part B of this response to the members of public. Respondents who do not wish their identities to be published should check the box below:

☐ I/We do not wish to disclose my/our identity to the members of the public.





Signature (with Company/ Entity Chop if the response represents company/ entity view)

Part B Consultation Questions

Please indicate your preference by checking the appropriate boxes. Please reply to the questions below that are raised in the Consultation Paper downloadable from the HKEX website at:

<http://www.hkex.com.hk/eng/newsconsul/mktconsul/Documents/cp2017092.pdf>

Where there is insufficient space provided for your comments, please attach additional pages.

1. Do you agree with the proposal to disallow highly dilutive pre-emptive offers unless there are exceptional circumstances?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

Please see Additional Sheet

2. Do you agree with the proposed 25% threshold on value dilution? If not, what is the appropriate percentage threshold and the reasons for this threshold?

☐ Yes

☒ No
(Please specify the appropriate percentage threshold _____)

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

We do not agree to set up any threshold for open offers and rights issues but agree to the proposed 25% threshold for specific mandate placing as per our submission on Q.3.

3. Do you agree that the proposed requirements should also apply to share issuance under a specific mandate?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

Specific mandate placings are a fast and efficient way for companies to raise funds. They are important means especially for companies in dire financial situation and in bad need to inject funds in order to stay afloat. To put too much restraints on companies' ability to do this could bankrupt them, which would be a far worse scenario for minority shareholders.

In analysing whether specific mandate placings are deeply dilutive or not, the consultation paper cited cases where the placings were conducted at deep discounts from the current trading price. While this may be true, it may be due to the special circumstances the company is in. For example, a company is in talks with a "white knight" to salvage it from bankruptcy. In such cases, the price the white knight is willing to offer for the shares would not necessarily be based on the current share price but more on the net asset value. In case the share price is at a premium to the NAV, the deep price discount may arise. Therefore, the Exchange should not only look at the value dilution and prohibit a placing by imposing a threshold but need to look at the company's situation on a case-by-case basis.

On the other hand, we do recognise that in terms of minority shareholders' rights, specific mandate placings are different from rights issues and open offers in that minority shareholders are entitled to participate in rights issues and open offers should they wish to maintain their level of shareholdings and not to be diluted. And for shareholders who do not have the financial resources to do so, under the newly proposed compensatory arrangements, which we support, they would receive cash in compensation and their interests would be protected. Yet, in special mandate placings, since the issuers can elect to place the newly issued shares to an outside third party, minority shareholders do not have the chance to participate, nor is there any compensatory mechanisms. That leaves them in a very passive position only to be diluted. It is reasonable that the minority shareholders be protected from having their interests overly diluted. In this sense, we agree to imposing a 25% threshold on value dilution but urge the Exchange to take a practical approach and give exemptions to placings where the companies are to be found in dire financial troubles and the placings are part of a corporate rescue attempt.

4. Do you agree with the proposal to aggregate rights issues, open offers and specific mandate placings within a rolling 12-month period?

☐ Yes

☐ No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

We do not agree to having a threshold for rights issues and open offers, so a rolling 12-month period does not apply. We, however, agree to having a threshold for placings subject to our answer to Q.3. In that case, a rolling 12-month period for the purpose of calculating the threshold is appropriate.

5. Do you agree with the proposed method of calculating cumulative value dilution? If not, what is the appropriate method?

☒ Yes

☐ No
(Please specify the appropriate method _____)

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

subject to answer to Q3 and 4

6. Do you agree with the proposal to extend the minority shareholder approval requirement to all open offers (unless the new securities are issued under the general mandate)?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

7. Do you agree with the proposal to remove the underwriting requirement for pre-emptive offers?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

Yes, we agree removing the underwriting requirements as it reduces costs for the issuers and expedites the offer process. It is important that issuers clearly inform the market of the absence of underwriting and that the offer may not go ahead. This will prevent the investing public from incurring losses due to share price movements in case the offers are terminated.

8. Do you agree with our proposal to require underwriters to be licensed persons independent from the issuers and their connected persons?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

9. In view of paragraphs 72 and 73 of the Consultation Paper:

- (a) do you agree that controlling shareholders should be allowed to act as underwriters?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If your answer is "Yes", please give reasons for your views.

In addition to the reasons cited in the consultation paper, controlling shareholders acting as underwriters also signify confidence in the company which is a positive factor to the success of the offer.

(b) do you think that substantial (but not controlling) shareholders should be allowed to act as underwriters?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If your answer is "Yes", please give reasons for your views.

There are cases where a company does not have a controlling shareholder. In those cases, substantial shareholders should be allowed to act as underwriters, for reasons similar to our answer to Q.9. We agree to the concerns raised in paragraph 73 of the consultation paper, and therefore suggest substantial shareholders' underwriting commitment be capped at 30% of the offered shares so that they would not end up having effective control of the company through underwriting or the substantial shareholders undertake to make a mandatroy offer under the Takeover Code.

10. Do you agree that compensatory arrangements should be mandatory when pre-emptive offers are underwritten by connected persons?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

11. Do you agree with the proposal to remove the connected transaction exemption for underwriting (including sub-underwriting) of pre-emptive offers by connected persons?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

12. Do you agree with the proposal to make it mandatory for issuers to adopt either the excess application arrangement or the compensatory arrangement in rights issues and open offers?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

Listed issuers are encouraged to adopt both arrangements so as to offer the maximum protection to the minority shareholders.

13. Do you agree with the proposal to limit the excess applications by a controlling shareholder and his/her/its associates to a maximum number equivalent to the offer shares minus their pro rata entitlements?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

14. Do you agree with our proposal to disallow the use of general mandate for placing of warrants and options for cash consideration?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

Our principle is not to have unnecessary restrictions to issuers in their fund raising methods. Issuers should be allowed to choose what instruments to use that best suit their financial situations and needs. If the concern here is the difficulty in calculating the fair value of the warrants, it is unwarranted, as the calculation of the value of warrants and other derivatives using common pricing models is widely accepted.

15. Do you agree with the proposal to disallow any price discount of the initial conversion price of convertible securities to be placed under general mandate?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

16. Do you agree with the proposal to require disclosure of the use of proceeds from all equity fundraisings in interim and annual reports?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

17. Do you agree with the proposal to impose a minimum price requirement on subdivision or bonus issue of shares?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

18. Do you agree with the proposed minimum adjusted price of HK\$1? If not, what is the threshold you consider appropriate: (a) HK\$0.5; or (b) other?

☐ HK\$1

☐ HK\$0.5

☒ Other (Please specify the appropriate threshold \$0.1)

If you answer is "Other", please give reasons for your views.

We believe \$0.1 is appropriate. To set the threshold at \$0.5 would be too restrictive to companies currently trading at low price level.

19. Do you support a demonstration period of six months? If not, please specify the period you consider appropriate.

☐ Yes

☒ No
(Please specify the appropriate demonstration period 1
month)

If your answer is "No", please give reasons for your views.

We believe one month is more appropriate. Six months is a rather long period especially in volatile market conditions.

- End -

Consultation Paper on Capital Raising by Listed Issuers

Supplementary Sheet to Q.1

Before answering this question and the subsequent ones, the Chamber is of the view that market intervention by the Stock Exchange and other regulators should be kept to a minimum. Listed issuers should be allowed to operate as freely and as efficiently as possible so long as they work within the realm of laws and applicable listing rules and regulations. That said, we respect the right and responsibility of the Exchange in reviewing the listing rules from time to time and amend its rules to perform its regulatory functions.

We understand the objective of the Exchange in suggesting this amendment is to protect minority shareholders' interests from abusive fund raising behaviours, such as the highly dilutive pre-emptive offers. But at the same time, one must realize rights issues and open offers are legitimate fund raising means.

As paragraph 27 of the consultative paper pointed out, the highly dilutive pre-emptive offers represented only 3% and 5% of all funds raised and number of capital raising transactions (125) between 2013 and 2016, and as a result of Stock Exchange's actions, the number of highly dilutive pre-emptive offers has dropped. This proves that the problems are i) not prevalent and hence its negative impact to the market is confined and ii) the Exchange has existing means to address the problem. That begs a question whether we should sacrifice market efficiency for rare occurrences of abusive behaviours by a small number of issuers and in so doing, deprive companies who may have the genuine need to raise funds in a ratio they deem necessary.

The consultative document also pointed out that in most of the cases, the highly dilutive pre-emptive offers were approved by over 75% of shareholders that attended the general meetings. In principle, the ultimate decision makers of a company's affairs are the shareholders themselves. If a resolution is passed at the shareholders' meeting, the decision is to be respected. The Exchange may question the low turnout of shareholders but that does not breach any rule. Perhaps rather than adopting an interventionist approach, the Exchange shall work with the SFC to educate shareholders that they should fully exercise their right and responsibilities of attending shareholders' meeting and decide on their own company's affairs.

In light of this, we do not agree to create a threshold that would limit the flexibility of issuers and which would restrict issuers' right to raise funds for their corporate purposes. (Please note that our disagreement is related to preemptive offers, treatment of placings will be discussed subsequently.)

We note that the consultation paper has also suggested a range of protective measures for minority shareholders, such as excess application and compensatory arrangements. With these measures in place, the minority shareholders' interests would be protected even if they choose not to participate in the rights or open offer. For example, in a rights issue, the minority shareholders may vote against the resolution proposing a highly dilutive rights issue that is not in their interest or may sell their nil-paid rights if they do not want to participate in the rights issue. In an open offer and after implementation of the proposals by the Exchange in this consultation, the minority shareholders may vote against the resolution proposing a highly dilutive open offer or may apply for additional shares or failing all of which the minority shareholders will be compensated under the compensatory arrangement as herein proposed. By reasons of the matters aforesaid, we therefore think it is not necessary to have a threshold.

Instead of prohibiting pre-emptive rights above certain threshold and giving permission on a discretionary basis, the Exchange should instead leave the rules unchanged but hold the right to reject any offers that it deems abusive on good grounds. For example, listing approval for the proposed rights issue was not granted by the Exchange under LD 102-2016 and a share subdivision proposal was also not approved by the Exchange under LD 103-2016. If so, the Exchange has the relevant power and authority to stamp out any abusive rights issues and open offers under the existing Listing Rules.